

Stroppel

Khovanov homology from rep. theory

Want : To categorify tensor products of finite dim modules

$U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -modules

→ RT-tangle inv.

→ CK-invariants?

Recall of: a ss qpx Lie alg $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{O}(g)$

$\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*/W \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{O}(g)_\lambda \subset \mathcal{O}(g)$ $W = \text{Weyl group}$

From now on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$

categorify $\underbrace{V \otimes \dots \otimes V}_n$ $V = \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$

pick up for each $0 \leq i \leq n$ pick $\lambda_i \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ integral dominant
s.t. stabilizer of λ_i is $S_i \times S_{n-i} < S_n = W$

e.g. \mathfrak{sl}_3 
 $S_0 \times S_3$ $S_1 \times S_2$ $S_2 \times S_1$ $S_3 \times S_0$

orbit $\binom{3}{0} + \binom{3}{1} + \binom{3}{2} + \binom{3}{3} = 2^3$ elements

Th There is an isom. $K_0(\bigoplus_{\substack{m \text{ graded} \\ c=0}} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)_{\lambda_i}) \cong V^{\otimes n}$ s.t.
 $\mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}]$ -iso.

Verma module \longleftrightarrow std basis

Simple module \longleftrightarrow dual canonical basis

twisted indec. proj. modules \longleftrightarrow canonical basis
(tilting)

action of $E, F, K \leftrightarrow$ tensoring with natural, its
 rep \mathbb{C}^n dual
 grading shift

Remarks

can be generalized to arbitrary tensor products
 of finite dimensional modules of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$

using categories of Harish-Chandra modules
 (jt with Frenkel-Khovanov)

generalization of Δ works well
 although the categories are not highest wt)
 jt work with Mazorchuk

Picture so far convenient for $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -action
 now want TL-action

$$\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{N}_n \quad 0 \leq i \leq n \quad \text{fix} \quad p_i = \left[\begin{matrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{matrix} \right] \}_{n-i}^{\{ \cdot \}}$$

$$\mathcal{O}^{p_i}(g)_0 \subset \mathcal{O}(g)_0$$

⋮
all objects which are (sc. finite w.r.t. $\mathcal{U}(p_i)$)

simple objects $L(x) \quad x \in S_i \times S_{n-i} / S_n$
 shortest length
 coset representative

Koszul duality $2^n \Leftarrow \alpha$ picture ($= \tilde{\gamma} > \beta$)

graded version

$$\text{Th. 1) } K_0\left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^n \mathcal{O}(sl_n)^{\text{-mod.}}\right) \cong V^{\otimes n} \quad \begin{matrix} \text{parabolic Verma} \\ \leftrightarrow \text{standard basis} \end{matrix}$$

2) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Grothendieck group} \\ \text{of proj. functors} \end{array} \right\} \cong \text{Temperley-Lieb } T_{n,f}$

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{In the graded version} \\ \text{restriction of proj. functors} \\ \text{on } \mathcal{O} \end{array} \right\}$

3) This extends to an invariant of tangles + cobordism as follows

$\{ \text{tangles} \}$	Cat
objects	$D^b\left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^n \mathcal{O}(sl_n)\right)$
morphism	tangles
2-morphism	cobordism
	functors natural transf. / scalars upto

4) On the Grothendieck group RT

Connection to $D^b(Coh Y_n)$?

$$\text{Conj. } D^b(Coh Y_n) \cong D^b(B\text{-grmod}) \cong D^b\left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^n \mathcal{O}(sl_n)\right)$$

Koszul dual

N.B. Temp. Lieb.
is exact
are functors

in the $K_0\left(\bigoplus \mathcal{O}(sl_n)^{\text{-mod.}}\right)$ side

General fact

$$\Omega^i(\mathfrak{sl}_n) \cong \text{mod } A_n^i \quad \text{for some f.d. algebra } A_n^i$$

Braden gave description via generators & relations

(LHS \cong per. sheaves on Grassmann)

not obvious that the algebra is graded

he conjectured H_n is a subquotient algebra
of A_{2n}^n

Th H_n is a natural subalgebra of A_{2n}^n , namely

$$H_n = \text{End}_{A_{2n}^n} (\oplus \text{ indec. proj. } \otimes \text{ injective})$$

Seine functor is trivial!

restricting functors from this to LHS gives
exactly Khovanov's picture.

A_n^i is better than H_n
than \hookrightarrow is not Koszul. But the trade off is
not computable.

A_n^i via diagrams

write a basis of $V^{\otimes n}$ as "spin chains" using \wedge, \vee

$$\text{e.g. } V \otimes V \quad \wedge \wedge \left| \begin{array}{cc} \wedge \vee & \vee \wedge \end{array} \right| \wedge$$

ith weight sp. = # downs is i

parabolic
Verma \leftrightarrow spin
chains

Rule I) given a spin chain S connect all $\wedge \vee$ via
a cup diagram (if they are nbd)

e.g. $\wedge \vee \rightarrow$ do nothing $\uparrow \downarrow$
 $\vee \wedge \rightarrow \cup$

result cup diagram $C(S)$ with probably also $\uparrow \downarrow$

Lemma S a spin chain $\rightarrow M(S)$ corresponding Verma
 $P(S)$ its proj. cover

$$[P(S) : M(t) \langle j \rangle] = \# \text{ orientations of } C(S) \\ \text{of degree } j \text{ and type } t$$

grading shift

e.g. $\uparrow \curvearrowright$ or $\curvearrowright \uparrow$ degree = # of clockwise cups

type $\wedge \vee$ $\vee \wedge$

① ② $P(\vee \wedge) = M(\vee \wedge)$
 $M(\wedge \vee) \langle 1 \rangle$

$\uparrow \downarrow$ only one orientation $P(\wedge \vee) = M(\wedge \vee)$

Rule II) Do the same thing with caps instead of cups
gives $[M(S) : L(t) \times \langle j \rangle]$

interpretation of BGG-reciprocity

$$[P(S) : M(t) \langle j \rangle] = [M(t) : L(S) \langle j \rangle]$$

$$\dim \text{Hom}(P(S), P(z)) = \sum_{y, t_2, j} [P(S), M(y) \langle t_2 \rangle] \hookrightarrow [M(y), L(z) \langle j \rangle]$$

Th. 1) The algebra A_n^i has a graded vector space basis given by all possible oriented cup-cap diagrams.

e.g. A_2^1



grading	clockwise cup/cap	shift by 1
0	1 1	2 0

2) multiplication just as in Khovanov's

3) The cup-cap diagrams which gives closed circles only form a subalgebra which is H_n in the case A_{2n}^m .

Rem.